

*Handout 13: Gimme an 'F'! Gimme an 'H'! Gimme a 'T'!*

We're almost ready to present the ring-theoretic analogue of a much-beloved group-theoretic standard. (And in the process we'll construct a number of two-word hyphenated phrases.)

**Definition/Proposition.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. Then the function  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\pi(r) = r + I$  is a surjective homomorphism called the \_\_\_\_\_ map. (Often it's denote  $\pi$  for "projection," though just as often you'll see  $\nu$  for "\_\_\_\_\_ .")

So what?

**Proposition (3.108).** Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , then  $I$  is the kernel of some homomorphism from  $R$  to another ring,  $S$ , and  $S \cong R/I$ .

*Proof.* This is one of those "follow-your-nose" deals:

**First Homomorphism Theorem (ring version).** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings, and let  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism. Then  $R/\ker(\phi) \cong \text{im}(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* First, we not define the isomorphism (your textbook denotes it  $\tilde{\phi}$ ) that's going to do the trick:

Next, prove that it works (note: you've got to prove that the map is *well-defined* as well!):

So, what's the commutative diagram associated with this theorem? Here's some space for a quick sketch:

Okay, now let's go crazy with some

**Examples.** In the space below, let's see how many concrete instances of the FHT we can come up with, using the familiar rings, ideals, and homomorphisms with which we're already familiar:

**Homework.** For class on Friday, decide for yourself which of the following two courses you'd like to pursue next:

1. **Continue with quotients immediately.** Our first option continues in Section 3.8 of your text without interruption: we'll go straight into a deeper consideration of the structure of quotient rings and finite fields. From there, it's on to a little bit of "general" linear algebra, before returning to Galois theory and, time permitting, other topics.
2. **Universal algebra.** The second option would be to go "rogue" for a little while and spend a few days talking about what is in some ways the most abstract branch of abstract algebra, *universal algebra*. This topic addresses what you get when you generalize the notions of "operation," "substructure," and "homomorphism." If we follow this path, we would *afterward* return to the book and pick up where we left off.

Let me know what you think!